

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtd

Document heading

doi: 10.1016/S2222-1808(14)60766-0

© 2014 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease. All rights reserved.

Antimicrobial activities of *Alstonia boonei* stem bark, a Nigerian traditional medicinal plant

Chika Crescence Ogueke^{1*}, Joachim Uwaleke¹, Clifford Ifeanyi Owuamanam¹, Beluonwu Okolue²¹Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Technology Owerri, P. M. B. 1526 Owerri, Nigeria²Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Technology Owerri, P. M. B. 1526 Owerri, Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 Jul 2014

Received in revised form 4 Aug 2014

Accepted 2 Sep 2014

Available online 13 Sep 2014

Keywords:

Antimicrobial activity
Alstonia boonei extracts
 Agar diffusion
 Drug resistance
 Inhibition

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the *in vitro* antimicrobial activities of various solvent extracts of stem bark of *Alstonia boonei*, a Nigerian traditional medicinal plant against some microorganisms of food and clinical importance.

Methods: The antimicrobial activities of crude solvent extracts of stem bark were determined using well in agar diffusion method against *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Kluyveromyces sp.* The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration were determined to establish the antimicrobial potential of extracts.

Results: The antimicrobial results revealed that ethanol extract produced maximum zone of inhibition (23.73 mm) against *E. coli*. All the extracts had no inhibitory activity on *Salmonella typhi* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at the lowest concentration tested (3.2 mg/mL). MIC was determined at various concentrations and the least MIC (5.8 mg/mL) was produced by the crude ethanol extract on *E. coli*, while the least minimum bactericidal concentration (20 mg/mL) was also produced by the ethanol extract on *E. coli*. Ethanol and chloroform extracts had the highest and least inhibitory effects on the isolates, respectively.

Conclusions: The present study has revealed that the solvent extracts of *Alstonia boonei* stem bark possess potent antimicrobial activity that can be harnessed. It may also be a new source of antimicrobial compounds that could be used to combat drug resistance which has become a global challenge.

1. Introduction

Plants in recent times have become a valuable source of natural products for maintaining human health^[1], especially in the developing countries where traditional medicine is the main source of medical care for a great proportion of the population^[2–5]. The use of plants for treating various diseases is not new to man where they are used mainly at the primary health care level^[6], and popular observations on

the use and efficacy of these medicinal plants significantly contribute to the disclosure of their therapeutic properties^[7]. Several countries in Africa and other parts of the world have continued to encourage screening programmes of plants used in traditional medicine in order to authenticate their antimicrobial activities and possible inclusion in primary health care^[8–11], and several plants have been reported worldwide to possess antimicrobial activities^[12–17].

Pathogenic bacteria have always been considered as a major cause of morbidity and mortality in humans^[18]. Despite efforts made by pharmaceutical companies to produce new and more effective antimicrobial drugs resistance to these antimicrobials, which continues to increase and remains a major global problem^[19,20]. Thus, the

*Corresponding author: Chika Crescence Ogueke, Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Technology Owerri, Owerri, Nigeria.

Tel: +23480 5112 1556

E-mail: chikaoguke@yahoo.com; chikaoguke@futo.edu.ng

Foundation Project: Supported by funds from Mr. Ndukwe Osogho through Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria (Grant No. FST/Research/001).

demand for more drugs from plant sources is continuously increasing, thereby, necessitating the screening and investigation of more plants for bioactive antimicrobials, determination of their safety and efficacy^[21–23].

Alstonia boonei De Wild (*A. boonei*) is a medicinal plant of West African origin, popularly known as Egbu in Igbo language. *A. boonei* De Wild belongs to the family Apocynaceae^[24]. The species are scattered all over the world of which two are indigenous to Africa^[25]. In some African countries, *A. boonei* is considered a sacred tree and worshiped in the forest, thus human beings in those countries do not eat its parts^[26]. The bark of this tree has been found to possess anti-rheumatic, anti-inflammatory, analgesic/pain-killing, anti-malaria/antipyretic, antidiabetic (mild hypoglycaemic), anti-helminthic and antimicrobial properties^[24,27–30]. Traditionally, the stem bark and root/root bark are used for treatment of some infections and ailments in Nigeria^[24]. The plant parts are rich in various bioactive compounds such as echitamine, N α -formylechitamine, boonein, loganin, lupeol, ursolic acid, and β -amyrin among in which the alkaloids and triterpenoids form a major portion^[25,26,31,32].

The use of plant parts in traditional medicine is a common practice in Nigeria^[33–35]. Due to the indiscriminate use of antibiotics against pathogens of clinical importance, development of drug resistance has been on the increase these days, and it is possible that solution to this problem could be found in extracts of plants commonly used in traditional medicine. This work therefore aims at determining the antimicrobial properties of the extracts of stem bark of *A. boonei* on some microorganisms of food and clinical importance.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant collection and identification

Fresh stem barks of *A. boonei* were collected from Umuguma, Owerri West Local Government Area of Imo State, Nigeria. The taxonomy of the plants were identified and authenticated. They were cleaned to remove sand and other extraneous materials.

2.2. Sample preparation and extraction procedure

The fresh stem barks were air dried for about four weeks and ground into fine powder under aseptic conditions using a mechanical grinder. About 20 g of the fine powder

was weighed into 250 mL of ethanol (95%), methanol and chloroform in conical flasks. These were covered, shaken every 30 min for 6 h, and then allowed to stand for about 48 h. The solutions were subsequently shaken and filtered using Whatman filter paper. The filtrates were evaporated to dryness using a rotary evaporator (Model type 349/2, Corning Inc. USA) and stored at 4 °C until required for antimicrobial assay.

2.3. Preparations of dilutions of crude extract for antibacterial assay

The methods of Selvamohan *et al.* and Amole and Ilori were adopted with some modifications^[3,36]. The crude extracts were dissolved in 5% dimethylsulphoxide and further diluted to obtain 200.00, 100.00, 50.00, 25.00, 12.50, 6.25 and 3.20 mg/mL concentrations. These were stored at 15 °C until required.

2.4. Test microorganisms

The organisms *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), *Salmonella typhi* (*S. typhi*) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*) were isolated from clinical samples obtained from patients at the Federal Medical Centre, Owerri; while *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (*S. cerevisiae*) and *Kluyveromyces* sp. were isolated from fruits. They were reisolated, identified using standard methods and the pure cultures subcultured on nutrient agar (CM0 003, Oxoid) slants for bacteria and potato dextrose agar (CM0 139, Oxoid) for yeasts. They were stored at 4 °C until required for the study.

2.5. Evaluation of antimicrobial activity

The agar diffusion method as described by Amole and Ilori was adopted for the study^[36]. A total of 15 mL of molten nutrient agar (CM0 003, Oxoid) and potato dextrose agar (CM0 139, Oxoid) were seeded with 1.0 mL of standardized broth cultures of bacteria and yeasts, respectively (approximately 1.0×10^7 CFU/mL) by introducing the broth cultures into sterile Petri dishes, incorporating the molten agar, rotating slowly to ensure uniform distribution of the microorganisms, and then allowed to solidify on a flat surface. Three holes were made in the plates (about 5.0 mm diameter) using a sterile cork borer and equal volumes of the extracts were transferred into the holes using a Pasteur's pipette. Two Petri dishes containing a particular microorganism were used for each concentration of the extract.

The plates were allowed to stand for 2 h for pre-diffusion of the extract to occur^[35], and were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h.

At the end of incubation the plates were collected and zones of inhibition that developed were measured. The average of zones of inhibition was calculated.

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined using the method described by Rivera *et al*^[37]. Each bacterial strain was grown in nutrient broth (CM0 067, Oxoid), while yeasts were grown on potato dextrose broth (CM0 962, Oxoid). Antimicrobial activity of plant extracts was evaluated in test tubes with screw cap. Each test tube was filled with 5 mL of sterile brain heart infusion broth medium for bacteria and potato dextrose broth for yeasts. The standardized broth cultures of the organisms were inoculated into the media with a sterile inoculating loop (approximately 1.0×10^7 CFU/mL). Inoculated test tubes were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The MIC of each sample was determined by measuring the optical density in the spectrophotometer (620 nm) (Perkin Elmer, USA) comparing the sample readout with the non-inoculated medium. All the samples were prepared in triplicates. Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) was determined using the method of Koochak *et al*^[38]. The treated broth cultures from test tubes showing no visible growth in MIC assay were cultured on Mueller Hinton agar (CM0 337, Oxoid) plates for bacteria and potato dextrose agar for yeasts. The least concentration (highest dilution) of the extracts that

inhibited colony formation on a solid agar medium after incubation at 37 °C and 30 °C for 24 h and 72 h, respectively for bacteria and yeasts was considered as MBC. The clinical strains were also tested for their sensitivity against the solvents used for the extraction of the stem barks.

The data obtained from the study was subjected to statistical analysis using ANOVA and the means were separated using Fisher's least significant difference. Microsoft Excel 2007 was used for data processing.

3. Results

The antimicrobial activity of stem bark of *A. boonei* on the isolates is shown in Tables 1–3. The result showed that the antimicrobial activities of the plant extracts increased with increase in concentration of crude extracts. However, the ethanol extracts generally produced higher antimicrobial effects on the isolates. The extracts showed prominent antimicrobial activity against *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *S. cerevisiae* and *Kluyveromyces* sp. The chloroform extract had the greatest effect on *Kluyveromyces* sp., while the ethanol and methanol extracts had the greatest effect on *E. coli*.

The highest zone of growth inhibition was produced by the ethanol extract on *E. coli* (23.730 mm) at 200.00 mg/mL concentration, while the least was produced by the

Table 1

Mean zone of growth inhibition (mm) by methanol extracts of *A. boonei* stem bark.

Concentration of extract (mg/mL)	Microorganisms						LSD
	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>S. typhi</i>	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>Kluyveromyces</i> sp	
3.20	5.78±0.02 ^c	4.81±0.01 ^d	–	–	6.83±0.04 ^b	6.90±0.00 ^a	0.052
6.25	8.45±0.00 ^c	7.29±0.10 ^d	4.42±0.03 ^f	5.76±0.05 ^e	8.93±0.02 ^a	8.64±0.00 ^b	0.088
12.50	11.60±0.00 ^a	10.40±0.00 ^b	7.45±0.00 ^d	8.82±0.03 ^c	11.35±0.00 ^a	11.49±0.01 ^a	0.670
25.00	14.75±0.00 ^a	13.24±0.05 ^c	10.61±0.01 ^e	11.93±0.01 ^d	14.61±0.01 ^b	14.75±0.00 ^a	0.055
50.00	17.63±0.02 ^a	16.40±0.00 ^c	13.78±0.00 ^e	14.81±0.01 ^d	17.62±0.02 ^a	17.27±0.03 ^b	0.060
100.00	19.51±0.01 ^a	18.56±0.05 ^c	15.91±0.01 ^e	16.30±0.00 ^d	19.24±0.01 ^b	19.50±0.00 ^a	0.114
200.00	22.76±0.02 ^a	21.40±0.00 ^d	18.27±0.04 ^e	19.45±0.00 ^e	22.50±0.00 ^b	22.35±0.00 ^c	0.127

±: Standard deviation of three determinations; ^{a,b,c,d,e,f}: Means with different superscript in the same row are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different; –: Not detected, LSD: Least significant different.

Table 2

Mean zone of growth inhibition (mm) by chloroform extracts of *A. boonei* stem bark.

Concentration of extract (mg/mL)	Microorganisms						LSD
	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>S. typhi</i>	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>Kluyveromyces</i> sp	
3.20	4.77±0.04 ^c	3.16±0.00 ^d	–	–	5.40±0.00 ^b	5.79±0.01 ^a	0.116
6.25	6.81±0.14 ^c	5.65±0.00 ^d	3.46±0.02 ^f	4.61±0.01 ^e	7.69±0.01 ^a	7.45±0.00 ^b	0.046
12.50	8.75±0.00 ^c	8.54±0.08 ^d	5.41±0.01 ^f	7.63±0.02 ^e	10.43±0.02 ^b	10.50±0.03 ^a	0.021
25.00	10.80±0.14 ^c	10.63±0.02 ^d	7.52±0.00 ^f	9.61±0.01 ^d	12.34±0.00 ^b	12.62±0.01 ^a	0.024
50.00	13.85±0.01 ^c	13.72±0.02 ^d	10.65±0.04 ^f	12.76±0.02 ^e	15.62±0.03 ^b	15.85±0.01 ^a	0.024
100.00	16.76±0.05 ^c	16.34±0.08 ^d	13.56±0.05 ^f	15.82±0.03 ^e	18.22±0.03 ^b	18.61±0.01 ^a	0.054
200.00	19.49±0.01 ^c	19.50±0.00 ^c	16.29±0.01 ^e	18.75±0.01 ^d	21.67±0.03 ^b	21.54±0.08 ^a	0.116

±: Standard deviation of three determinations; ^{a,b,c,d,e,f}: Means with different superscript in the same row are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different; –: Not detected; LSD: Least significant different.

Table 3Mean zone of growth inhibition (mm) by ethanol extracts of *A. boonei* stem bark.

Concentration of extract (mg/mL)	Microorganisms						LSD
	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>S. typhi</i>	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>Kluyveromyces</i> sp	
3.20	6.450±0.000 ^c	5.870±0.040 ^d	–	–	6.710±0.010 ^b	7.500±0.000 ^a	0.116
6.25	9.400±0.000 ^b	8.600±0.000 ^d	3.390±0.010 ^f	4.450±0.000 ^e	9.250±0.000 ^c	9.700±0.000 ^a	0.173
12.50	11.650±0.000 ^b	10.920±0.000 ^d	7.610±0.010 ^f	8.910±0.000 ^e	11.500±0.000 ^c	11.820±0.020 ^a	0.116
25.00	14.810±0.001 ^a	13.750±0.000 ^b	8.950±0.010 ^d	8.660±0.060 ^e	13.520±0.100 ^c	13.760±0.050 ^b	0.024
50.00	18.370±0.100 ^a	17.560±0.050 ^b	13.910±0.010 ^f	14.810±0.080 ^e	17.830±0.020 ^b	17.420±0.240 ^c	0.035
100.00	21.750±0.070 ^a	20.600±0.000 ^c	16.750±0.000 ^f	17.620±0.010 ^e	20.460±0.020 ^d	20.660±0.020 ^b	0.019
200.00	23.730±0.040 ^a	22.240±0.000 ^c	18.600±0.000 ^f	19.920±0.030 ^d	22.680±0.000 ^b	22.650±0.000 ^b	0.117

±: standard deviation of three determinations; ^{a,b,c,d,e,f}: Means with different superscript in the same row are significantly ($P<0.05$) different; – : not detected; LSD : least significant different.

crude ethanol extract on *S. typhi* (3.390 mm) at 6.25 mg/mL concentration. It was also observed that the extracts (methanol, chloroform and ethanol) did not have any inhibitory effect on *S. typhi* and *P. aeruginosa* at 3.2 mg/mL concentration.

Statistical analysis of the values obtained for each of the organisms revealed that most of the values at each concentration were significantly different ($P<0.05$) from each other.

Results of the MIC of extracts on isolates are shown in Table 4. The least MIC was produced by the crude ethanol extract on *E. coli* (5.80 mg/mL), while the highest value was obtained with chloroform extract with a value of 27.61 mg/mL on *S. cerevisiae*. Statistical analysis also revealed that all the values were significantly different ($P<0.05$) from each other.

Table 4MIC of extracts of *A. boonei* stem bark (mg/mL).

Microorganisms	Methanol	Chloroform	Ethanol	LSD
<i>E. coli</i>	8.71±0.01 ^{5,b}	10.72±0.07 ^{6,a}	5.80±0.07 ^{5,c}	0.045
<i>S. aureus</i>	23.85±0.00 ^{1,c}	27.60±0.00 ^{1,a}	25.91±0.01 ^{1,b}	0.031
<i>S. typhi</i>	7.51±0.01 ^{6,b}	13.79±0.01 ^{5,a}	6.90±0.07 ^{5,c}	0.038
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	19.65±0.07 ^{3,b}	25.74±0.01 ^{2,a}	12.65±0.07 ^{3,c}	0.146
<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	20.63±0.01 ^{2,b}	27.61±0.01 ^{1,a}	18.54±0.01 ^{2,c}	0.028
<i>Kluyveromyces</i> sp	15.84±0.01 ^{4,b}	18.70±0.00 ^{4,a}	14.57±0.01 ^{3,c}	0.028
LSD	0.119	0.032	0.02	

^{a,b,c}: Means with different superscript in the same row are significantly ($P<0.05$) different; ^{1,2,3,4,5,6}: Means with different superscript in the same column are significantly ($P<0.05$) different; ±: Standard deviation of three determinations; LSD: Least significant different.

The MBC values of extracts are presented in Table 5. The least value (20.00 mg/mL) was observed on *E. coli* by ethanol extract while the next in value (25.00 mg/mL) was shown by ethanol and methanol extracts on *S. typhi*, *S. cerevisiae* and *Kluyveromyces* sp. The highest value (100.00 mg/mL) was produced by chloroform extract on *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *Kluyveromyces* sp. Some of the values were not significantly different ($P>0.05$) from each other, either among the extracts or among the isolates.

Table 5MBC of extracts of *A. boonei* stem bark (mg/mL).

Microorganisms	Methanol	Chloroform	Ethanol	LSD
<i>E. coli</i>	50.00±0.70 ^{1,a}	50.00±0.70 ^{2,a}	20.00±0.70 ^{1,b}	13.720
<i>S. aureus</i>	50.00±0.70 ^{1,b}	100.00±0.70 ^{1,a}	50.00±0.70 ^{1,b}	1.609
<i>S. typhi</i>	25.00±0.70 ^{2,c}	50.00±0.00 ^{2,a}	25.00±0.70 ^{2,b}	1.447
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	50.00±0.70 ^{1,b}	100.00±0.70 ^{1,a}	50.00±0.70 ^{1,b}	1.440
<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	50.00±0.70 ^{1,a}	50.00±0.70 ^{2,a}	25.00±0.70 ^{2,b}	2.490
<i>Kluyveromyces</i> sp	50.00±0.70 ^{1,b}	100.00±0.70 ^{1,a}	25.00±1.41 ^{2,c}	13.110
LSD	2.81	2.56	3.44	

^{a,b,c}: Means with different superscript in the same row are significantly ($P<0.05$) different; ^{1,2}: Means with different superscript in the same column are significantly ($P<0.05$) different; ±: Standard deviation of three determinations; LSD: Least significant different.

4. Discussion

For centuries medicinal plants have been the main source for drugs in many countries and it is estimated that at least 25% of all modern medicines are derived either directly or indirectly from medicinal plants[4]. The screening of plant extracts for antimicrobial activity has shown that higher plants represent a potential source of novel antibiotics[39]. *A. boonei* is commonly found in the rain forest regions of Nigeria along with other kinds of plant species with good medicinal properties. The increase in bacterial diseases is becoming common in Africa especially in Nigeria, due to development of antibacterial drug resistance arising from misuse and abuse of antibiotics. The search for alternative sources for chemotherapeutic agents to combat the problem is therefore a global challenge.

The crude plant extracts tested in this study showed antimicrobial activity (bactericidal and bacteriostatic) on all isolates tested viz., *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *S. typhi*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. cerevisiae* and *Kluyveromyces* sp. However, differences were observed between their antimicrobial activities. These differences could be attributed to the differences in the chemical composition and amount of the bioactive compounds extracted by the solvents. These compounds usually accumulate in different parts of the plant[4,37], and such secondary metabolites have been found to produce many effects including antibacterial and antiviral

properties^[40,41]. The plant has been found to be rich in various bioactive compounds which include echitamine, Nα-formylechitamine, boonein, loganin, lupeol, ursolic acid, and β-amyryn, of which the alkaloids and triterpenoids form a major portion^[25,26,31,32].

Among the solvent extracts, ethanol extract showed the highest antimicrobial activity with MIC of 5.80 mg/mL against *E. coli* and 6.90 mg/mL against *S. typhi*. This is worthy of note, thus partial purification of the crude extract could increase the antimicrobial activity since some of the components in the extracts could be antagonistic to other components responsible for the observed antimicrobial activity. Such natural plant metabolites are important as potential antimicrobial crude drug and source for natural compounds that can be used as new anti-infection agents^[42], and probably in food preservation. However, the crude solvent extracts (methanol, chloroform and ethanol) did not have any inhibitory effect on *S. typhi* and *P. aeruginosa* at 3.2 mg/mL concentration. It may be that the concentration of extracts applied is too low to inactivate the bacterial isolates.

Hence, more studies pertaining to the use of plants as therapeutic agents should be emphasized, especially those related to the control of antibiotic resistant microbes which has become a global challenge. Previous study showed the antimicrobial potentials of ethanol extracts of this plant^[24].

This present study has revealed that ethanol and methanol extracts of *A. boonei* displayed considerable inhibitory activity against the test isolates which are food pathogens and food spoilage organisms. Thus, such extracts could be applied as antimicrobial preservatives in food. Experimental data available in this line is minimal.

Modern drugs in use today have undergone various levels of experiments to determine the safety and efficacy unlike some herbal preparations. Majority of persons that take these herbal remedies assumes that they are inherently safe because it is natural^[26]. It is therefore important to conduct further studies on the extracts to ascertain their toxicological properties and develop standard methods for assuring safety and efficacy, since in sufficiently high doses they can cause serious harm to humans. Without well-documented information on these and phytochemical characteristics of the bioactive compounds the utilization of these natural resources from Africa will be difficult.

This study has shown that methanol, ethanol and chloroform extracts of *A. boonei* stem bark possess antimicrobial activities against the tested microorganisms, although the ethanol extract appears to be more active. The results of this study therefore support and justify the traditional use of the studied plant part in the treatment of some bacterial infections in Nigeria. Thus, the plant extracts have a great potential as antimicrobial

compounds against microorganisms. Further work aimed at isolation, purification and characterization of the active compounds should be initiated thus leading to development of new antimicrobials that could help combat the problem of drug resistance being experienced globally.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by funds from Mr. Ndukwe Osogho through Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria (Grant No. FST/Research/001).

References

- [1] Renisheya JJMT, Johnson M, Mary UM, Arthy A. Antibacterial activity of ethanolic extracts of selected medicinal plants against human pathogens. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed* 2011; **1**: S76–S78.
- [2] Nascimento GGF, Locatelli J, Freitas PC, Silva GL. Antibacterial activity of plant extracts and phytochemicals on antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *Braz J Microbiol* 2000; **31**(4): 247–256.
- [3] Selvamohan T, Ramadas V, Kishore SSS. Antimicrobial activity of selected medicinal plants against some selected human pathogenic bacteria. *Adv Appl Sci Res* 2012; **3**(5): 3374–3381.
- [4] Prasannabalaji N, Muralitharan G, Sivanandan RN, Kumaran S, Pugazhvendan SR. Antibacterial activities of some Indian traditional plant extracts. *Asian Pac J Trop Dis* 2012; **2**: S291–S295.
- [5] Mwitari PG, Ayeka PA, Ondicho J, Matu EN, Bii CC. Antimicrobial activity and probable mechanisms of action of medicinal plants of Kenya: *Withania somnifera*, *Warbugia ugandensis*, *Prunus africana* and *Plectranthus barbatus*. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**(6): e65619.
- [6] Essawi T, Srour M. Screening of some Palestinian medicinal plants for antibacterial activity. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2000; **70**: 343–349.
- [7] Silva NCC, Fernandes Júnior A. Biological properties of medicinal plants: a review of their antimicrobial activity. *J Venom Anim Toxins Incl Trop Dis* 2010; **16**(3): 402–413.
- [8] Panizzi L, Flamini G, Cioni PL, Morelli I. Composition and antimicrobial properties of essential oils of four Mediterranean Lamiaceae. *J Ethnopharmacol* 1993; **39**: 167–170.
- [9] Martínez MJ, Betancourt J, Alonso-González N, Jauregui A. Screening of some Cuban medicinal plants for antimicrobial activity. *J Ethnopharmacol* 1996; **52**: 171–174.
- [10] Lentz DL, Clark AM, Hufford CD, Meurer-Grimes B, Passreiter

- CM, Cordero J, et al. Antimicrobial properties of Honduran medicinal plants. *J Ethnopharmacol* 1998; **63**: 253–263.
- [11] Baba–Moussa F, Akpagana K, Bouchet P. Antifungal activities of seven West African Combretaceae used in traditional medicine. *J Ethnopharmacol* 1999; **66**: 335–338.
- [12] Philip K, Malek SNA, Sani W, Shin SK, Kumar S. Antimicrobial activity of medicinal plant from Malaysia. *Am J Appl Sci* 2009; **6**(8): 1613–1617.
- [13] Saad S, Taher M, Susanti D, Qaralleh H, Rahim NA. Antimicrobial activity of mangrove plant (*Lumnitzera littorea*). *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; **4**(7): 523–525.
- [14] Raja RD, Jeeva S, Prakash JW, Antonisamy JM, Irudayaraj V. Antibacterial activity of selected ethnomedicinal plants from South India. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; **4**(5): 375–378.
- [15] Bragadeeswaran S, Priyadharshini S, Prabhu K, Rani SR. Antimicrobial and hemolytic activity of fish epidermal mucus *Cynoglossus arel* and *Arius caelatus*. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; **4**(4): 305–309.
- [16] Madhumitha G, Saral AM. Preliminary phytochemical analysis, antibacterial, antifungal and anticandidal activities of successive extracts of *Crossandra infundibuliformis*. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; **4**(3): 192–195.
- [17] Chatterjee SK, Bhattacharjee I, Chandra G. Isolation and identification of bioactive antibacterial components in leaf extracts of *Vangueria spinosa* (Rubiaceae). *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; **4**(1): 35–40.
- [18] Ahmad I, Beg AZ. Antimicrobial and phytochemical studies on 45 Indian plants against multi–drug resistant human pathogens. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2001; **74**: 113–123.
- [19] Djeussi DE, Noumedem JAK, Seukep JA, Fankam AG, Voukeng IK, Tankeo SB, et al. Antibacterial activities of selected edible plants extracts against multidrug–resistant Gram–negative bacteria. *BMC Complement Altern Med* 2013; **13**: 164–171.
- [20] Abu–Shanab, Adwan G, Abu–Safiya D, Jarrar M, Adwan K. Antibacterial activities of some plant extracts utilized in popular medicine in Palestine. *Turk J Biol* 2004; **28**: 99–102.
- [21] Mijovic G, Andric B, Terzic D, Lopacic M, Dupanovic B. Antibiotic susceptibility of *Salmonella* spp.: a comparison of two surveys with a 5 years interval. *J IMAB* 2012; **18**: 216–219.
- [22] Saravanan R, Dhachinamoorthi D, Senthilkumar K, Thamizhvanan K. Antimicrobial activity of various extracts from various parts of *Calophyllum inophyllum* L. *J Appl Pharm Sci* 2011; **1**(3): 102–106.
- [23] Sumathi P, Parvathi A. Antimicrobial activity of some traditional medicinal plants. *J Med Plants Res* 2010; **4**(4): 316–321.
- [24] Ogueke CC, Ogbulie JN, Njoku HO. Antimicrobial properties and preliminary phytochemical analysis of ethanolic extracts of *Alstonia boonei*. *Niger J Microbiol* 2006; **20**(2): 896–899.
- [25] Policy Research and Strategic Planning Institute. *Ghana herbal pharmacopoeia*. Accra, Ghana: Policy Research and Strategic Planning Institute; 1992.
- [26] Adotey JPK, Adukpo GE, Boahen YO, Armah FA. A review of the ethnobotany and pharmacological importance of *Alstonia boonei* De Wild (Apocynaceae). *ISRN Pharmacol* 2012; **2012**: 587160.
- [27] Abbiw DK. *Useful plants of Ghana: West African uses of wild and cultivated plants*. London: Intermediate Technology Publications; 1990.
- [28] Kam TS, Nyeoh K, Sim K, Yoganathan K. Alkaloids from *Alstonia scholaris*. *Phytochemistry* 1997; **45**(6): 1303–1305.
- [29] Fakae BB, Campbell AM, Barrett J, Scott IM, Teesdale–Spittle PH, Liebau E, et al. Inhibition of glutathione S–transferases (GSTs) from parasitic nematodes by extracts from traditional Nigerian medicinal plants. *Phytother Res* 2000; **14**(8): 630–634.
- [30] Hadi S, Bremner JB. Initial studies on alkaloids from Lombok medicinal plants. *Molecules* 2001; **6**(2): 117–129.
- [31] Kucera M, Marquis VO, Kucerova H. Contribution to the knowledge of Nigerian medicinal plants. I. TLC [thin–layer chromatographic] separation and quantitative evaluation of *Alstonia boonei* alkaloids. *Planta Med* 1972; **21**(4): 343–346.
- [32] Croquelois G, Kunesch N, Debray M, Poisson J. *Alstonia boonei* alkaloids. *Med Plants Phytother* 1972; **6**(2): 122–127.
- [33] Oguakwa JU. N α –formylechitimidine, an alkaloid from *Alstonia boonei*. *Phytochemistry* 1984; **23**(11): 2708–2709.
- [34] Ogbulie JN, Ogueke CC, Okoli IC, Anyanwu BN. Antimicrobial activities and toxicological potentials of crude ethanolic extracts of *Euphorbia hirta*. *Afr J Biotechnol* 2007; **6**(13): 1544–1548.
- [35] Ogueke CC, Chikwendu CI, Iwouno JO, Ogbulie JN. Effect of crude ethanol extract of *Nauclea latifolia* on some clinical isolates of food importance and its toxicological potentials. *Rep Opin* 2011; **3**(1): 44–52.
- [36] Amole OO, Ilori OO. Antimicrobial activity of the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the stem bark of *Alstonia boonei*. *Int J Phytopharmacol* 2010; **1**(2): 119–123.
- [37] Rivera SEV, Escobar–Saucedo MA, Morales D, Aguilar CN, Rodríguez–Herrera R. Synergistic effects of ethanolic plant extract mixtures against food–borne pathogen bacteria. *Afr J Biotechnol* 2014; **13**(5): 699–704.
- [38] Koochak H, Seyyednejad SM, Motamedi H. Preliminary study on the antibacterial activity of some medicinal plants of Khuzestan (Iran). *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2010; **3**(3): 180–184.
- [39] Afolayan AJ. Extracts from the shoots of *Arctotis arctoides* inhibit the growth of bacteria and fungi. *Pharm Biol* 2003; **41**(1): 22–25.
- [40] Cowan MM. Plant products as antimicrobial agents. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 1999; **12**: 564–582.
- [41] Noumedem JAK, Mihasan M, Lacmata ST, Stefan M, Kuate JR, Kuete V. Antibacterial activities of the methanol extracts of ten Cameroonian vegetables against Gram–negative multidrug–resistant bacteria. *BMC Complement Altern Med* 2013; **13**: 26.
- [42] Dwivedi SC, Dudev R, Tyagi R, Masand M, Advani U. Medicinal bioactives as antimicrobial agents: an overview. *Int J Pharm Res Dev* 2011; **3**(7): 24–30.